MDEP Conference on New Reactor Design Activities

Conclusions

André-Claude Lacoste Chair, MDEP Policy Group

MDEP expected outcomes

- Setting up an enhanced cooperation among regulators :
 - To improve the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory design reviews
 - To raise the safety assessment quality and the safety level
 - To facilitate convergence of regulatory requirements

MDEP After 4 Years of Work

- MDEP is an effective and efficient expert network from different regulators
 - Greater understanding in national requirements and practices
 - ***** Work on Common Positions
 - ★ Increase of Members' efforts and involvement
- Comprehensive programmes of work
- MDEP products
- Increased interactions with industry stakeholders

1. MDEP Perspectives and Challenges

- Convergence of regulatory practices and regulatory requirements
 - Will be a long process
 - Time for Self-Assessment of MDEP Activities and achievements
 - Need for in-depth discussions within MDEP Policy Group on orientations to be given to MDEP
 - → Harmonization / Convergence
 - → New NPP Commissioning and Operation

2. MDEP Perspectives & Challenges

- MDEP Enlargement
 - *** Membership**: New regulators interested
 - Design Specific WG: Regulators expressed their interest in creating new DSWG
 - → To be discussed and anticipated by MDEP

3. MDEP Perspectives & Challenges

- Fukushima: Improvements to be implemented are at the same time an individual and a collective responsibility for Regulators, Vendors, SDOs, Operators, etc.
 - Programme of work to be defined as soon as first results from national and international safety assessments available
 - Interactions with other international organisations
 - * High expectations from nuclear industry stakeholders

Expectations from Industry Stakeholders

To achieve its goals MDEP needs :

The active involvement of all stakeholders: Regulators, Vendors, SDOs and Operators for an enhanced international cooperation, in MDEP and outside